

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------|---|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/088,267 | 03/13/2002 | Artur Schwoerer | 2895 | 8808 | |
| 26822 | 7590 08/08/2003 | | | | |
| WALTER A. HACKLER | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | NISTOL, SUITE B BEACH, CA 92660-0755 | 5 | FERGUSON, | FERGUSON, MICHAEL P | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | • | | 3679 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 08/08/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|---|---|------------------------|
| | 10/088,267 | SCHWOERER, A | RTUR |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Michael P. Ferguso | on 3679 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | appears on the cover s | heet with the correspondence ac | ddress |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by second part of the provision o | DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, howevent, a reply within the statutory minimeriod will apply and will expire SI, tatute, cause the application to be | er, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered time X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ely. communication. |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | |
| | This action is non-fina | اد | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un | lowance except for for | mal matters, prosecution as to the | ne merits is |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 10-17 is/are pending in the application of the above plains (a) | | · | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with | drawn from considerat | ion. | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-17</u> is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar Application Papers | nd/or election requirem | ent. | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam | niner. | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 March 2002</u> is/ar | e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ | objected to by the Examiner. | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection t | o the drawing(s) be held | in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _ | is: a)∏ approved | b) disapproved by the Examin | ier. |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in | n reply to this Office action | n. | |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the | Examiner. | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for | eign priority under 35 l | J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority docum | ents have been receiv | ed. | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docum | ents have been receiv | ed in Application No | |
| 3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a | oriority documents hav Bureau (PCT Rule 17 | e been received in this National .2(a)). | Stage |
| 14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom | • | | al application). |
| a) The translation of the foreign language 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom | provisional application | has been received. | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) 🗍 Ir | iterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No | n(e) |
| 2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Not | 5) 🔲 N | otice of Informal Patent Application (PT ther: | |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office | Action Summary | Part of Paper No. 7 | |

Art Unit: 3679

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 10-17 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 10 (line 19) recites "element". It should recite --elements--.

Claim 11 (line 2) recites "is further operable". It should recite -- are further operable--.

Claim 11 (line 3) recites "for the concrete shell element". It should recite --from the concrete shell elements--.

Claim 12 (line 2) recites "comprises comprising". It should recite --comprises--.

Claim 13 (line 2) recites "the opposing archings". It should recite --opposing archings--.

Claim 14 (line 2) recites "inner surface". It should recite --inner surfaces--.

Claim 15 (line 2) recites "means comprises". It should recite -- means comprise--.

Claim 15 (line 4) recites "first lock part, and device further comprises". It appears the applicant intended to recite --second lock part, and further comprise--.

Claim 16 (line 2) recites "means comprises a pivoting and/or tilting lever". It is unclear as to whether the lever is for either pivoting or tilting, or whether the lever is for pivoting and tilting. It appears the applicant intended to recite --means comprise a pivoting or tilting lever--.

Claim 16 (line 4) recites "claws or in the... claws". It should recite --claw or in the... claw--.

Art Unit: 3679

Claim 17 (line 2) recites "means comprises a wedge which, being". It should recite –means comprise a wedge which, when--.

For the purpose of examining the application, it is assumed that appropriate correction has been made.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Peri-Werk Artur Schwörer GmbH&Co KG (DE 35 46 832).

As to claim 10, Peri-Werk Artur Schwörer GmbH&Co KG discloses a turnbuckle device **10** capable of mutually clamping two concrete shell elements across a joint therebetween, the shell elements each including a frame with longitudinal and transverse struts, the turnbuckle device having:

means 12,15 for retaining the turnbuckle device on one of the longitudinal and transverse struts and positioning the turnbuckle device across abutting edges of the shell elements;

a first lock part **12** including a stationary first claw **12** for engaging one of the frames, the first claw being configured for direct engagement with the respective frame;

a second lock part 13 pivotally disposed with respect to the first lock part (second part 13 pivoting to allow for serrations of the second part to disengage with serrations

Art Unit: 3679

24 of first part 12, allowing the second part to be displaced along the first part) and including a second claw 13 configured for direct engagement with another of the frames;

arresting means **32,60,61,62** for limiting a pivoting range of the second lock part in order that the second claw prevents release of the turnbuckle device from the shell elements and optimally limits movability of the turnbuckle device (Figures 1-4, abstract).

As to claim 11, Peri-Werk Artur Schwörer GmbH&Co KG discloses a device wherein arresting means 32 are operable (removed from side openings 30) for enabling the turnbuckle device to be removed from shell elements (Figures 2 and 3, abstract).

4. Claims 10, 11, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hünnebeck GmbH (EP 201 887).

As to claim 10, Hünnebeck GmbH discloses a turnbuckle device capable of mutually clamping two concrete shell elements across a joint therebetween, the shell elements each including a frame with longitudinal and transverse struts, the turnbuckle device 1 having:

means 2,22 for retaining the turnbuckle device on one of the longitudinal and transverse struts and positioning the turnbuckle device across abutting edges of the shell elements;

a first lock part 2 including a stationary first claw 2 for engaging one of the frames, the first claw being configured for direct engagement with the respective frame;

a second lock part 3 pivotally disposed with respect to the first lock part and including a second claw 3 configured for direct engagement with another of the frames;

Art Unit: 3679

arresting means **4,12,13** for limiting a pivoting range of the second lock part in order that the second claw prevents release of the turnbuckle device from the shell elements and optimally limits movability of the turnbuckle device (Figures 1-3, abstract).

As to claim 11, Hünnebeck GmbH discloses a device wherein arresting means 13 are operable for enabling the turnbuckle device to be removed from shell elements (Figure 3).

As to claim 15, Hünnebeck GmbH discloses a device wherein retaining means 2 comprise a shackle which projects from a rod-shaped body 5 which holds and displaceably guides a second lock part 3, and further comprise a bolt mounting means 4 for insertion into a first opening in the shackle (Figure 1).

As to claim 16, Hünnebeck GmbH discloses a device wherein retaining means 2 comprise a pivoting lever **4,13** which is disposed in the region of a stationary claw **2** (Figure 1).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 12-14 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

As to claim 12, Hünnebeck GmbH discloses a device wherein a first lock part 2 comprises a stationary first claw 22 (Figure 1).

Hünnebeck GmbH fails to disclose a device wherein a first lock part comprises stationary first claws and means for retaining comprise archings, the archings protruding from inner surfaces of the stationary claws.

Art Unit: 3679

As to claim 17, Hünnebeck fails to disclose a device wherein arresting means comprise a wedge which, when displaced in the direction of force of gravity, blocks a pivotable second claw in a pivoted inner position state and clamps the turnbuckle device for mutual clamping of two shell elements, and when displaced against the force of gravity, releases the pivotable second claw for pivoting and displacement with respect to a first stationary claw.

It would not have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify a device as disclosed by Hünnebeck GmbH to have any of the above mentioned features as such modifications are neither taught nor suggested by the prior art.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to the applicant's disclosure. The following patents show the state of the art with respect to turnbuckle devices:

Ursini et al. (USPN 3,550,898) is cited for pertaining to devices having a pivotable claw.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael P. Ferguson whose telephone number is (703)308-8591. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne H. Browne can be reached on (703)308-1159. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are

Art Unit: 3679

(703)872-9326 for regular communications and (703)872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1114.

MPF

August 4, 2003

Lynne H. Browne Supervisory Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 3679

Page 7